Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/741,406	EDWARDS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants:	Status of Application: response after non-final	
(1) <u>Christopher A. Revak</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Cheng Ko</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 March 2007</u>	Time: <u>1:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 1st paragraph		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The examiner noted that all the claims had been amended to re dependent claim 11. The applicant's representative agreed to a	move subject matter not supporte	ed by the specification except for
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
,		
n		
(Evaminar(SDE Gianatura)	AlAnniinaniin Desertaii (	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	oignature – ir appropriate)